



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,874	04/02/2004	Douglas Schein	115616	9059
25944	7590	08/10/2009		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 320850			BOWERS, NATHAN ANDREW	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,874	SCHEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NATHAN A. BOWERS	1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nathan Bowers. (3) Lisa Tsang.
 (2) Rodney Rothwell. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 04 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Toledo-Pereyra, Bacchi, Cannon.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant asserted that the prior art of record does not disclose a tube frame directly connected to a portable housing. Examiner did not agree, and noted that Toledo-Pereyra and Bacchi each teach a direct connection. Applicant also suggested potential limitations to advance prosecution. Additional search and consideration is necessary to determine patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William H. Beisner/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	
---	--